THE GLOBAL STANDARD:
A TOOLKIT FOR INVESTOR RELATIONS OFFICERS

KPI Selection

Presented By
Breakwater Capital Markets

Overview

This toolkit positions KPI selection as a core governance mechanism, ensuring the business is clearly underwriteable before results appear in financial statements. It focuses on a small, durable set of decision-useful KPIs that explain the operating engine, link directly to valuation drivers, and create a shared, investor-grade scoreboard.

By emphasizing consistency, financial translation, and disciplined governance, the toolkit shows how well-chosen KPIs reduce uncertainty, improve modelability, and build credibility over time. Executed to global standards, KPI disclosure becomes a compounding trust asset that supports valuation resilience across cycles and strategic transitions.

1 What is the purpose of KPI selection in a global-standard IR program?

KPIs exist to make the business underwriteable by explaining the operating engine before outcomes show up in GAAP lines.

Investors reward KPI systems that are consistent, auditable, and clearly linked to valuation drivers. KPI selection is governance: metrics should be stable enough to become the language of long-term trust.

The global standard
Disclose a small set of durable, decision-useful KPIs that reduce uncertainty, improve modelability, and create a shared scoreboard the market can monitor.

2 How do we choose KPIs that investors actually value?

Choose KPIs that change investor probability-weighting because they predict revenue durability, margin trajectory, cash conversion, and capital efficiency. "Interesting" metrics without valuation translation create noise.

Start from your value creation algorithm, identify the few levers that drive it, and select KPIs that measure those levers consistently across environments.

The global standard
Select metrics that translate directly into model inputs and that explain mechanisms, not just outcomes.

3 How many KPIs should be on the core scoreboard?

Too many KPIs dilute attention and create contradictory signals. Transparency is achieved through depth in appendices and definitions, not through overwhelming volume. Publish a concise core set with stable definitions and history, and maintain additional internal metrics for management use without forcing them into external disclosure.

The global standard
A small scoreboard, typically 5–8 KPIs, because investors can only underwrite what they can track consistently.

4 How do we balance leading indicators with lagging indicators?

A best-in-class KPI system includes a hierarchy: a few leading indicators that signal future performance, supported by operating indicators that explain current execution, and anchored by financial outcomes. Too many leading indicators can be noisy; too few can leave investors blind.

Define a KPI tree that links leading signals to outcomes and use it consistently across earnings, Investor Day, and materials so investors understand causality and timing.

The global standard
Build a system where investors can forecast and monitor future performance, not just interpret past results.

5 What makes a KPI “durable” versus a short-term narrative metric?

A durable KPI is stable in definition, auditable in source, meaningful across cycles, and resilient against gaming. Investors penalize KPI churn because it increases uncertainty and undermines comparability.

Avoid metrics that are easily redefined or that only look good in one environment.

The global standard
Assign ownership, document calculation methodology, and commit to consistency so KPIs build a credibility moat over time.

6 How do we ensure KPIs cannot be gamed or misread?

If a KPI can be gamed, it must be paired with a counter-metric that reveals quality, such as growth with churn, bookings with cancellations, or engagement with monetization. Investors distrust metrics that can be optimized superficially.

Disclose definitions and guardrails, provide interpretation context, and ensure the KPI suite tells a coherent story even when one metric moves in isolation.

The global standard
Design KPI disclosure so it resists manipulation and supports accurate interpretation.

7 How do we link KPIs explicitly to financial outcomes?

Investors need translation, not adjacency. Without translation, KPIs become trivia.

Include a simple mapping in materials and reinforce it repeatedly so investors can update models with confidence and reduce dispersion caused by different interpretations of the same data.

The global standard
Explain how KPI movement flows into revenue, margin, cash conversion, and returns, using consistent mechanisms and time lags.

8 Should we disclose KPI history, and how much is enough?

Yes, history is what turns metrics into evidence. Investors trust metrics that have context.

Publish consistent time series, explain any discontinuities, and keep the same definitions so historical comparability remains intact.

The global standard
Provide at least 8–12 quarters of history for core KPIs so investors can see behavior across different conditions and avoid overreacting to single-period noise.

9

How do we handle KPI definition changes without damaging credibility?

Definition changes should be treated like accounting changes: rare, justified, and bridged. KPI churn is a credibility tax.

Govern KPI changes through a disclosure committee process and ensure every channel—press release, deck, website—updates simultaneously from a single source of truth.

The global standard

Explain why the change improves clarity, provide back-cast history where feasible, and reconcile old-to-new so investors maintain comparability.

10 Should we guide KPIs or only report them?

Guiding volatile KPIs increases miss risk and can distort internal behavior toward short-term optimization.

Use KPIs to explain trajectory, provide guardrails on expected direction or range where appropriate, and keep the emphasis on milestone progress rather than quarterly precision. Let reporting build the track record that earns trust.

The global standard
Guide KPIs only when predictability is high and governance is strong; otherwise report consistently and provide directional commentary through scenarios.

11 How do we prevent KPIs from overwhelming earnings communications?

Use KPIs selectively to explain what changed and what it implies, while keeping the full KPI library in the deck and on the IR site.

Highlight only the KPIs that move the quarter's story and the next quarter's setup, and keep definitions consistent so investors can self-serve additional detail without needing management to narrate every metric.

The global standard
Deliver crisp narrative supported by deep data access, not dense scripts that bury insight in volume.

12 How do we choose KPIs during a transformation or restructuring?

Transformation KPIs should make progress measurable and underwriteable. Investors discount transformation narratives without measurable proof.

Define the transformation KPI set early, publish clear baselines, and report progress consistently so the market sees governed execution rather than aspirational change.

The global standard
Disclose milestones and operational indicators that prove execution, such as cost-out realization, productivity, adoption, reliability, or migration completion, linked to financial outcomes over time.

13 How do we manage segment-level KPI disclosure?

Segment KPIs add value when segments have distinct economics and investors model them separately. Too much segmentation can confuse the core thesis.

Standardize segment KPI templates and ensure segment metrics roll up logically into the consolidated algorithm, so investors can model without building conflicting interpretations.

The global standard
Disclose segment KPIs only when they materially reduce uncertainty and improve consolidated understanding, while balancing competitive sensitivity.

14 How do we ensure KPI definitions remain consistent across IR materials and the website?

Consistency requires a single source of truth and controlled publication. Inconsistency is quickly interpreted as unreliability.

Establish governance ownership, version control, and synchronized update processes so KPI disclosures remain stable and defensible across every channel.

The global standard
KPI definitions, history, and presentation are identical across press releases, decks, transcripts, and the IR site, because investors will cross-check.

15 How should we handle non-GAAP operational KPIs alongside GAAP credibility?

Operational KPIs and non-GAAP measures should exist to clarify the business, not to create parallel realities.

Too many bespoke measures erode trust. Keep the set limited, reconcile where appropriate, and reinforce how each KPI fits into the value creation algorithm investors are underwriting.

The global standard
Transparent definitions, stable methodology, and clear linkage to GAAP outcomes so investors see these as explanatory, not evasive.

16 How do we design KPIs to support global investor comparability?

Global investors value comparability across peers and cycles. Avoid region-specific jargon and inconsistent terminology.

Publish a KPI glossary, maintain stable naming conventions, and ensure translation does not change meaning across regions and languages.

The global standard
Use widely understood metrics where possible and define proprietary metrics with precision and stability so they become comparable over time.

17 How do we handle KPI volatility so investors don't overreact?

Volatility should be contextualized with drivers, seasonality, and leading indicators. Investors overreact when they lack context.

Pair KPI reporting with interpretation guidance, provide multi-quarter trends, and reinforce the monitoring framework so investors evaluate progress through patterns rather than single data points.

The global standard
Educate investors on what variability is normal, what signals structural change, and what management watches internally.

18 What governance structure should own KPI selection and evolution?

KPI governance should combine financial rigor with operational ownership. This ensures KPIs are auditable, meaningful, and consistent.

Document methodology, assign owners, and review KPI set periodically while minimizing changes to preserve comparability and credibility.

The global standard
CFO-led definition control, operating leader accountability for drivers, and IR ownership of external presentation and interpretation, all governed through a disclosure committee for changes.

19 How do we use KPIs to reduce activism and narrative vulnerability?

Activists and skeptics exploit ambiguity. KPIs that demonstrate disciplined execution and return logic strengthen investor confidence in governance.

Ensure KPIs clearly support your strategy and capital allocation story, and maintain stable reporting so debates stay grounded in facts rather than interpretations.

The global standard
Make performance and capital efficiency transparent, reducing space for speculative narratives about hidden underperformance.

20 What does a global-standard KPI package look like?

It is a concise, durable scoreboard with precise definitions, multi-year history, and governance that prevents churn. It becomes a shared language used consistently across earnings, Investor Day, and IR materials.

The package should feel like infrastructure rather than marketing, with every metric serving a clear modeling or monitoring purpose.

The global standard
Transform KPI transparency into a credibility moat that makes the business easier to model and builds trust that supports valuation resilience across cycles.

BREAKWATER CAPITAL MARKETS

Built for the future

We bring distinctive judgment and deep market insight to the decisions that shape valuation, command investor confidence, and position companies for enduring leadership.

Contact Mark Hayes for more information
mark@breakwaterstrategy.com

https://breakwaterstrategy.com/capital-markets/